BedreFlyt: Improving Patient Flows through Hospital Wards with Digital Twins

Riccardo Sieve University of Oslo Oslo, Norway riccasi@ifi.uio.no Paul Kobialka University of Oslo Oslo, Norway paulkob@ifi.uio.no Laura Slaughter University of Oslo Oslo, Norway 1.a.slaughter@dscience.uio.no

Rudolf Schlatte University of Oslo Oslo, Norway rudi@ifi.uio.no Einar Broch Johnsen University of Oslo Oslo, Norway

einarj@ifi.uio.no

Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa University of Oslo Oslo, Norway sltarifa@ifi.uio.no

Digital twins are emerging as a valuable tool for short-term decision-making as well as for long-term strategic planning across numerous domains, including process industry, energy, space, transport, and healthcare. This paper reports on our ongoing work on designing a digital twin to enhance resource planning, e.g., for the in-patient ward needs in hospitals. By leveraging executable formal models for system exploration, ontologies for knowledge representation and an SMT solver for constraint satisfiability, our approach aims to explore hypothetical "what-if" scenarios to improve strategic planning processes, as well as to solve concrete, short-term decision-making tasks. Our proposed solution uses the executable formal model to turn a stream of arriving patients, that need to be hospitalized, into a stream of optimization problems, e.g., capturing daily inpatient ward needs, that can be solved by SMT techniques. The knowledge base, which formalizes domain knowledge, is used to model the needed configuration in the digital twin, allowing the twin to support both short-term decision-making and long-term strategic planning by generating scenarios spanning average-case as well as worst-case resource needs, depending on the expected treatment of patients, as well as ranging over variations in available resources, e.g., bed distribution in different rooms. We illustrate our digital twin architecture by considering the problem of bed bay allocation in a hospital ward.

1 Introduction

Digital Twins (DTs) are virtual information constructs that capture the structure, context, and behavior of the system they are twinning, are dynamically updated with data from the twinned system, have predictive capability, and inform decisions that realize value, according to a recent definition by the National Academy for Science, Engineering and Medicine (NASEM) [33]. While many applications of DTs so far can be found in engineering disciplines, based on the idea of creating an increasingly accurate "virtual replica" of a physical system to predict behavior by means of sophisticated simulation techniques and a closed feedback loop to a twinned cyber-physical system (e.g., [11]), this recent definition is broader. In fact, DTs are today used in a variety of domains outside of cyber-physical systems, including health-care [41], manufacturing [5], and transportation [7]. We believe DTs have significant potential as a tool for the model-driven exploration of so-called "what if" scenarios, moving from the predictive analysis of near-future events to the prescriptive analysis of hypothetical scenarios. Thus, DT technology appears to be useful both for short-term decision-making and long-term strategic planning in various domains.

If we consider DTs from a formal methods perspective, DTs differ from standard model-driven techniques by supporting the dynamic update of the model, leveraging live data from the modeled system

Submitted to: ASQAP 2025 © R. Sieve et al. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License. (often referred to as the physical twin). We may think of the DT as an infrastructure for data-driven formal methods (e.g., [25]), in which the stream of data from the twinned system is used to configure a formal model. Similarly, the what-if scenario requested by the user of the DT may determine other aspects of the model as well as the properties to be analyzed. This way, the DT infrastructure may be thought of as a self-adaptive system [42] for advanced model management, generating the models and determining the analyses to be performed over these models.

Our focus here is on DTs for resource management in healthcare, a domain in which resource management is crucial to efficient operations [32]. The proper handling of resources at a hospital is concerned with how, e.g., trained staff, bed availability in the ward, and necessary rooms and equipment match the needs of the different activities of the hospital, such as the treatment of patients. Efficient resource allocation provides a structured way to better manage workflow and adjust it dynamically, avoiding bottlenecks in operations, thereby allowing for better prioritization and utilization of staff [44]. Simulations have been successfully used to improve resource allocation in a hospital [39]; a DT can connect such simulation models to live data to ensure that the simulation models do not deviate from the resource allocation problem of the hospital. This way, a DT can become the point of contact between static planning and dynamic optimization, allowing a better management of the workflow and making it possible to adjust it dynamically. By configuring the models to explore different scenarios, it is possible to compare different strategies under different assumptions with respect to resources as well as incoming patients.

This paper discusses the initial design of *BedreFlyt* (/'be:drə fly:t/), a DT for resource planning in a hospital ward, with a particular focus on bed bay allocation. The DT combines a knowledge base formalizing knowledge about patient treatments and the ward, an actor-based executable formal model to explore scenarios for streams of incoming patients with associated treatments, and a constraint solver to perform the actual bed allocation. Technically, we combine the executable modeling language ABS [17, 18] with the SMT solver Z3 [9] and knowledge graphs [16]. The orchestration language SMOL [21, 22] is used to combine knowledge graphs with the ABS and Z3 models. SMOL supports querying a knowledge base, which includes the reflection of the runtime state of the SMOL program itself, via SPARQL and SHACL queries. The ABS model transforms the stream of patient data into a stream of constraint problems that capture the bed allocation problem at different points in time. Combined with a description of the ward, these are turned into SMT problems, which we give to Z3. The result is the multi-day planning of bed allocation for patients, that minimizes bed reallocation. We evaluate the design on a realistic patient treatment scenario, based on a historical dataset for a hospital ward at Rikshospitalet,¹ and further consider how the design scales to larger scenarios up to 2000 patients.

Main contributions: a DT design combining formalized knowledge, executable formal models and SMT to explore "what if" scenarios, its implementation, and its application to a hospital ward scenario.

Paper overview: Section 2 motivates our work by a hospital ward planning problem, Sect. 3 reviews background and Sect. 4 gives an overview of our DT design. Then Sect. 5 discusses our prototype implementation, Sect. 6 experimental results, and Sect. 7 perspectives on the DT design and future work.

2 Motivating Scenario

Resource planning in hospitals is surprisingly complex. Already the problem of allocating beds to patients who are admitted for multi-day stays at a hospital ward is a dynamic scheduling problem concerned with how the patients' beds are placed in so-called bed bays, i.e. designated slots inside the different rooms of the hospital ward where rolling beds are placed. Additionally, the patients' bed needs may vary

¹https://www.oslo-universitetssykehus.no/steder/rikshospitalet/



Figure 1: Example of a hospital ward.

over time, and are subject to numerous constraints; for example, to allocate a bed to a bed bay in the hospital ward one needs to consider whether the patient requires continuous monitoring from staff and the extent to which the patient can share a room with other patients.

Today, when patients are admitted for a multi-day stay at a hospital ward, their admission is often handled manually. This manual process is still the default procedure in many major hospitals. Not only does this drastically increase the workload, as various requirements have to be taken into account, it is also time- and resource-consuming. While the nurse tries to find a good bay for the patient's bed, other patients still require care and sometimes immediate attention. The admitting nurse searches for a suitable placement for the different patients, while accounting for patients' diagnosis, gender, and infection status, as contagious patients are to be isolated. The nurse also ensures that the patients' room is appropriate given their needs; for example, more acute patients stay closer to the watch room to facilitate monitoring. Furthermore, a patient's needs may vary over a multi-day stay. In fact, optimal resource usage suggests a near-continuous reallocation of bed bays to patients as the overall needs of the currently admitted patients change over time. On the other hand, patients should not be moved unnecessarily!

Figure 1 illustrates this bed allocation problem for a hospital ward. A stream of patients arrive on a day-by-day basis and get assigned a bed bay in one of the rooms of the hospital ward. The ward is designed with rooms that satisfy different constraints and have different capacities in terms of bed bays; in particular, some rooms near the watch room have bed bay category *High Monitoring* and can host patients who need continuous monitoring from staff, other rooms host patients that need intermediate levels of attention from staff, etc. Thus, there are various constraints associated with the bed bays of the hospital ward, and various needs associated with the treatments of the admitted patients; both the constraints on the bed bays of the hospital ward and the needs of the admitted patients can vary over time.

A DT of the hospital wards can support real-time insights into the ward workload and support decision-making. The DT can alleviate the continuous process of allocating bed bays to patients, thereby reducing the workload of staff at the hospital ward. In particular, the twin could produce a list of patients with their room (re-)allocations at different points in time by tracking the patients, their treatments, and the associated bed allocation constraints. The number of incoming patients to the hospital ward can vary depending on the time of day, the day of the week, and the season. Similarly, the twin could easily adapt to changes in the hospital ward itself, such as the change of status of a given room from intermediate to monitoring, rooms being temporarily out of service, etc.

Finally, the DT could not only help with day-to-day bed bay allocation planning but also with longerterm prediction and strategic planning based on "what-if" scenarios. Specifically, the hospital deals with a combination of planned and acute patients. The treatments for acute patients can change over time, depending on the time of day, the day of the week, and (in Norway) the amount of ice on the streets, etc. By exploiting domain knowledge in the creation of scenarios for exploration, the DT can assist in longer-term planning by comparing allocation procedures depending on seasonality. These scenarios could also be parameterized in risk, allowing the DT to use either average-case or worst-case scenarios for resource needs (or even a distribution between the two). This way, the DT can assist in maintaining good capacity usage in the hospital ward at acceptable risk. Our solution is realized in a DT architecture that combines executable formal models with knowledge representation and constraint solving.

3 Background and Related Work

We focus the discussion on analysis techniques for resource planning and DTs in healthcare delivery. The traditional technique used for decision-making in healthcare is simulation [35], used to provide insights into the system and help identify potential issues before they occur [28]. Simulation studies such as [14] are conducted to understand future load on hospital operations, in this case, demonstrating bed availability under forecasted demographic trends (aging population) conditions. Garcia-Vicuña *et al.* [12] introduced a flexible adaptive method for efficiently estimating the distribution for lengths of stay and estimating bed needs from near real-time data. They conducted simulation studies, reproducing the patient pathway for admitting a patient to a hospital ward or the ICU. The simulations were used to predict future bed occupancy level during a pandemic wave.

DTs are gaining considerable traction in healthcare [2], especially considering how AI can be used to develop and enhance DTs for healthcare [24]; for instance, a study by [31] used DTs with machine learning models to monitor the health of patients with lung disease in real-time, with an accuracy of 92%. Stenseth *et al.* [40] used a DT-based framework to compare the effect of different intervention strategies on the global spread of a pandemic, by combining hypothetical "what-if" scenarios with co-simulation. DTs have also proved to be helpful for testing healthcare IoT applications such as medicine dispensers, while maintaining fidelity and reliability on the system [36].

The potential transformative impact of DTs on healthcare delivery has been reviewed by Vallée [41], who argues for the use of DTs for resource allocation to improve operational efficiency, including the streamlining of workflows, pinpointing bottlenecks, and ensuring optimal utilization of resources to reduce waiting times. Concrete examples of DTs in this domain include Mater Private Hospital in Dublin, Ireland, which partnered with Siemens Healthineers to create a DT of the workflow for the hospital's Radiology Department. Their DT's workflow simulation demonstrated shorter patient waiting times, faster patient turnaround, increased equipment utilization and capacity, and lower staffing costs [13]. As another example, Oregon Health Authority (OHA) used real-time data in their Mission Control command center to create a reliable tool to track critical hospital resources during the COVID pandemic. GE Healthcare provided DT tools for bed allocation and inpatient capacity monitoring across four hospitals in Portland. Through this project, the health authority has increased collaboration between the hospitals, and they are now able to rapidly react to increases in hospital bed demand, with a large impact on crisis response [30]. Integrating AI with DTs has also been used to improve the efficiency in resource management, allowing for task offloading [15].

In contrast to the work discussed above, our work explores DTs as a self-adaptive infrastructure for model management and configuration. Our DT design combines *SMOL* [21], a custom language for DT orchestration, knowledge bases to formalize domain knowledge, ABS [17,18] for model simulation and exploration, and Z3 [9] for constraint solving. We briefly introduce these components below.



Figure 2: Architecture of the DT, where the arrows indicate the flow of data.

SMOL is an imperative domain-specific language that leverages ontologies [38] to develop DTs that realize semantic reflection [21]: the runtime state of a *SMOL* program can be automatically represented as a knowledge graph, and queried from within the program itself. This technique allows the runtime state of the DT orchestrator to be combined with domain knowledge to, e.g., configure simulation scenarios. The domain knowledge needed for model management is formalized in a knowledge base expressed in the Resource Description Framework² (RDF) and RDF Schema (RDFS) for graph representation of the data, and the Web Ontology Language³ (OWL) for its expressiveness alongside Description Logic [4]. *SMOL* leverages domain expertise formalized in the knowledge base to configure (and re-configure) models for different analyses [22], effectively turning the DT into a self-adaptive system [42]. In previous work, self-adaptation in *SMOL* has been used to, e.g., autonomously tackle lifecycle management in DTs [19, 23].

ABS is used to add simulation capabilities to our DT. ABS [17] is a timed, resource-aware, actorbased modeling language with a formal semantics [18], that combines a functional layer for computation with an imperative layer for communication and synchronization between cooperatively scheduled processes. ABS has been used to model, e.g., resource-aware computational workflows on virtualized cloud infrastructure [3, 29], railroad infrastructure [20] and user journeys [26]. In ABS, we can model simulations through an actor-based approach with explicit suspension points for scheduling [37].

Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT) is used to add optimization capabilities to our DT. SMT solving provides decision procedures for deciding the satisfiability of a formula over a theory, e.g. linear real arithmetic [1, 27]. If the formula is satisfiable, the SMT solver returns a satisfying assignment for all variables, if the formula is unsatisfiable, an unsatisfiable core can be computed. In our work, we use the state-of-the-art SMT solver Z3 [9] for deciding satisfiability of formulas over quantifier-free linear real arithmetic, i.e. formulas of the form $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{R} : (x+y \le 2) \land (2 \cdot x+y \ge 3) \land (y = 0 \lor y = 1)$. In this case, Z3 could return the satisfying assignment x = 2, y = 0.

4 Approach

We now describe the approach we have taken to develop the DT and its underlying components. The approach is based on the architecture shown in Figure 2, and is driven by the following principles:

Modularity: The architecture is designed to be modular, and to allow for the addition of new components and the removal of existing ones. This allows for a more flexible and adaptable system over time, and allows us to enforce the separation of duties.

Interoperability: The architecture is designed for interoperability, for integrating new components, and for communication between existing ones. This also allows us to enforce a separation of concerns.

²https://www.w3.org/RDF

³https://www.w3.org/OWL/

Scalability: We designed the architecture with scalability in mind, using a microservice approach. While the performance might be lower than a monolithic approach on a single machine, the containerized approach allows for the deployment of the components on multiple machines. Moreover, the use of orchestration tools to manage the deployment makes it easier to scale the system across different machines.

4.1 Knowledge Modeling with Ontologies

We use an ontology to model the assets in the twinned system, as well as the various procedural tasks and their dependencies. An ontology is a formal machine-readable representation of knowledge organized as a set of concepts and the relationships between them. We build on previous work on developing ontologies for the modeling processes and workflows involving hospital operations [8, 34, 43].

Our ontology models bed bay capacity and the category of care-level for each room in the ward. The ontology is used by the other components of the system providing the standardized set of terms that facilitates interoperability, and to feed up-to-date information about the assets and procedural tasks to the other components. Our ontology captures only the needed concepts and relationships for our demonstration of the bed allocation simulator.

The ontology further models the basic structure of patient trajectories associated with treatment flow from pre-surgery, surgery, to post-surgery recovery. The room/bed needs through different phases of a patient's hospital stay are related to these treatment trajectories. The ontology design follows modeling principles to support expansion with further knowledge about ward processes, when this becomes needed to extend the twin's capabilities. For example, the ontology can easily be modified to increase the range of tasks beyond bed-related resource allocation, such as equipment needs, imaging and diagnostics, and personnel scheduling with complex formation of interdisciplinary teams of healthcare personnel (see [43]).

We use the ontology to capture the structure of the hospital, specifically the ward. The ward, in the domain we used as baseline, consists of a set of rooms R, each with a number of bed bays, room $r \in R$ has B_r bed bays. Each room r is associated with a bed bay category C_r , used to determine the bed allocation in each room, according to the patients' needs. The complete set of the rooms is associated with a specific distribution and capacity, required to determine the availability of beds in the ward.

Moreover, the ward has a set of specific tasks that are to be performed for a given treatment. The ontology also captures the patients' available treatments, which are given after a patient diagnosis. Treatments are associated with a set of tasks that need to be performed in a predefined ordering. Each task is associated with an average duration and a required bed bay category. For each diagnosis, there is a corresponding patient trajectory, a deployment of a treatment, identified by a set of tasks with their corresponding task dependencies (that capture the ordering constraints), that need to be performed as part of the treatment of a patient, e.g., post-surgery can happen only after surgery. The proper dependencies for the tasks are checked to ensure that the tasks are performed in the correct order.

The ontology is then integrated into SMOL to provide the runtime knowledge base for the DT: Each component of the asset model is mapped to a corresponding class in the knowledge base, and the relationships between the components are mapped to the relationships between the classes. The knowledge base is used to provide the data required for the simulation model, and to provide the constraints that need to be solved by the SMT solver. This way, no information about the ward's resources or processes are hard-coded into the DT's simulator or constraint-solver components; rather, these are configured on the fly by the DT, thereby giving the DT self-adaptive capabilities [42].

4.2 Scenario Simulation with ABS

We use simulation techniques to connect the static structure of the system (i.e., the knowledge base, see Section 4.1), with dynamic scenarios of patient flow. The workflow simulator takes a timed stream of patients with associated treatments as input and produces a timed stream of bed bay allocation problems as output, each of which captures the bed bay allocation problem to be solved at a particular point in time.

The simulator, implemented in ABS, fetches from the local data store⁴ data produced by the Simulation Driver by combining static information from the ontology and a desired scenario of patient inflow. The simulator reads from the local store at different points in time and provides a sequence of allocation problems, one for each point in time. (So far, we inhabit the database using an anonymized, historical dataset from a hospital ward; in the future we will investigate how to integrate live data from such wards.)

The simulator uses the functional layer of ABS to fetch data from the local store, including the patients and their corresponding treatment. The simulator uses the concept of a *Package* to capture ongoing treatments. Packages consists of patient information and the remaining tasks in the patient's treatment. The simulation keeps track of *active* and *pending* treatment tasks in a package (one per patient). The dependencies between tasks (for example, post-surgery depends on surgery) are also retrieved from the knowledge base. A task in a package becomes active once all tasks it depends on have been performed. While there are active packages, the simulator performs the following steps for each point in time:

- 1. Check for new patient arrivals, and add their associated packages to the list of ongoing packages.
- 2. For each ongoing package, record information about active tasks (e.g., bed needs for patients, etc.).
- 3. For each active task in an ongoing package, decrease its duration or remove it from the package if its duration reaches zero (i.e., capturing that the task has been completed).
- 4. Collect the bed needs for each patient.

The simulation component runs as long as there are ongoing packages and it generates a stream of bed needs per patient, for each point in time. This output is later used to generate a stream of optimization problems for the bed bay allocation planner.

Remark that the workflow simulator is slightly more general than our current case study, as the architecture of the simulator can handle tasks that occur at the same time and have different resource needs; e.g., a laboratory test can occur while the patient occupies a bed during recovery. Furthermore, dynamic, unforeseen variations in task duration can be simulated by exploiting the timed semantics of ABS [18].

4.3 SMT Solver

We use Z3 to decide the satisfiability of the constraints at the concrete ward at each point in time; i.e. for a given point in time, in which bed bays should the beds of patients be placed such that all constraints on gender, bed bay categories, infectious condition, and capacity of rooms are satisfied. For constraint solving, we reformulate the entire problem into one quantifier-free linear real arithmetic formula, as follows: let *R* be the set of rooms and *P* be the set of patients. For room $r \in R$, we denote with B_r the number of bed bays in the room, and with C_r the category of its bed bays. For patient $p \in P$, we denote with G_p their gender, with I_p whether or not the patient has an infectious condition that is contagious, and with C_p the patient's bed need category. Note that patients can only be placed in rooms with smaller or equal category, i.e. patient $p \in P$ can only be placed in rooms $r \in R$ with $C_p \ge C_r$, assuming an existing total ordering of bed bay categories. We let the standard category be larger than the intermediate category (see Figure 1), such that a patient with a standard bed need can be placed in a room with intermediate bed bays.

⁴Technically, the local data store is realized as an embedded SQLite database, see https://sqlite.org.

To encode the constraint problem as an SMT formula, we introduce two types of variables. With variable $a_{pr} \in \{0,1\}$ we encode that patient p is assigned to room r, and with variable g_r we assign a gender to room r. The assignment problem is decomposed into several sub-formulas:

- $\varphi_{patient}$ assigns each patient to exactly one room,
- φ_{room} limits the number of patients in a room by the room's capacity,
- φ_{gender} ensures that patients sharing a room have the same gender by enforcing that all patients in a room have the same gender as assigned to that room,
- $\varphi_{contagious}$ isolates contagious patients, i.e. they are alone in their room, and
- $\varphi_{category}$ restricts beds suitable for a patient by limiting the bed bay category.

Concluding, the formula $\varphi \coloneqq \varphi_{patient} \land \varphi_{room} \land \varphi_{gender} \land \varphi_{contagious} \land \varphi_{category}$ ensures that iff there exists an assignment from patients to bed bays, that assignment is sound.

$$\varphi_{patient} \coloneqq \bigwedge_{p \in P} \sum_{r \in R} a_{pr} = 1, \quad \varphi_{room} \coloneqq \bigwedge_{r \in R} \sum_{p \in P} a_{pr} \leq B_r, \quad \varphi_{gender} \coloneqq \bigwedge_{p \in P, r \in R} a_{pr} \Longrightarrow G_p = g_r$$

$$\varphi_{contagious} \coloneqq \bigwedge_{p \in P, r \in R} a_{pr} \wedge I_p \Longrightarrow \bigwedge_{p' \in P \setminus \{p\}} \neg a_{p'r}, \quad \varphi_{category} \coloneqq \bigwedge_{p \in P, r \in R} a_{pr} \Longrightarrow C_p \geq C_r$$

We further constrain φ to respect the previous bed allocation by minimizing the number of required reallocations, to avoid patients being moved around when they have a multi-day stay at the hospital.

Let P' be a new set of patients and let v be a previously valid assignment of patients to beds; i.e., v is a function from $P \cap P' \to R$ satisfying φ . Additionally, we introduce fresh variables c_p indicating whether patient $p \in P \cap P'$ has to be moved from room v(p). Solving $\varphi' := \varphi \land \varphi_{changes}$ while minimizing the sum of c_p s returns a valid assignment with the least changes, enabled in Z3 through the *optimization modulo theories* extension [6], where

$$\varphi_{changes} \coloneqq \bigwedge_{p \in P \cap P'} a_{pv(p)} \lor c_p$$
.

Note that the complexity of the resulting constraint problem seems quite high; our constraints encode a problem similar to the NP-hard *general assignment problem* [10]. However, our experiments in Sect. 6 suggest that the problem is solvable in practice.

5 Implementation

We now discuss the implementation of the BedreFlyt DT, which follows the architecture depicted in Figure 2. The incoming stream of patient data includes the patients' arrival times at the ward, their identifier and associated treatment. The simulation scenario handled by the SimulationDriver involves a multiple steps, including data retrieval, data processing, simulation and constraint solving, resulting in a resource allocation planner. Each component is developed as a separate module, with an API exposing CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations, and allowing interaction with the other components. The procedure for a single stream of resource allocation solutions is shown in Figure 3.

The resource allocation planner is divided into three phases: (1) data processing to retrieve the required data; (2) resource requirement processing to generate the constraints; and (3) the constraint solver process to properly define the allocation of resources to patient trajectories.



Figure 3: Sequence diagram of the bed allocation process in the digital twin.

Data processing phase. This phase consists of retrieving patient information from the patient stream and ward information from the knowledge base created through the ontology and processed by SMOL. Specifically, we need the asset model detailing the available resources of the ward, the specification of treatments in terms of tasks with associated resource needs, the stream of patients with their associated treatments, and (ultimately) patient data to generate the constraint solving problems for the SMT solver.

For the resources, we focus on the rooms and their bed bays, distinguishing the different bed bay categories, the availability of rooms with their respective identifiers, and the bed bay capacity of each room that is used by the constraint solver to allocate patients to rooms.

For the tasks in a treatment and their corresponding task dependencies, we query the knowledge base for the treatment associated with a the patient's diagnosis, as the tasks that need to be performed may vary. The tasks have a duration (for simplicity, we currently consider average durations), and the resource required to perform the task, specified by a needed bed bay category. The ABS model is configured with this information to collect the bed bay category needs per patient per time interval.

The flow of patients is written to the local data store, a relational database that can be queried through Java Persistence API, while information from the knowledge base is retrieved by SMOL through SPARQL queries encapsulated into service components in the API.

The set of constraints is used as input for the constraint solver to properly allocate the required resources to patient trajectories. In our case, the constraints are related to the different patients that need to be allocated to the rooms. For each patient, we take into consideration the severity of the diagnosis, care level requirements (directly related to the bed bay category), and the tasks that must be performed during each treatment, including the bed bay category needed. Moreover, we need to check whether or not a patient may be contagious, as this affects the patient's allocation to the different rooms.

Resource requirement phase. The resource requirement phase is handled by the ABS model, which is responsible for collecting the accumulated resource needs per time interval by simulating the patient trajectories. The Simulator component is designed to simulate the stream of patients through various treatment stages. The simulation starts with time interval 1, and an empty timeline is initialized to store the bed bay needs for each time interval. The simulation processes each package, and for each of them, the first enabled task is executed, and the bed bay needs are registered. If there are remaining tasks, a

new package is created and added to the remaining packages. The simulation continues until there are no more packages to process, and the timeline for bed bay needs is returned. The process is executed for each time interval until all the packages have been processed. Furthermore, an additional step is in charge of generating the stream of constraints per time interval.

Constraint solver phase. The constraints are passed to the SMT solver as a list of requirements, specified by the batch, i.e. the current time interval, the patient, and the constraints for the bed bay that the patient needs, alongside information on the rooms and their capacity. The simulation driver feeds information on gender, potential contagiousness, and room numbers for the patients; the simulation driver also provides the bed bay category of the room and the room capacities from the knowledge base.

The constraints, expressed as a formula $\varphi_{changes}$ (see Section 4.3), are then solved by Z3, which returns the allocation of patients to rooms if there exists a feasible allocation solution. The allocation is then returned to the simulation scenario driver that forwards the output to the user of the DT.

Output. The final output consists of a stream of patient allocations to rooms per time interval, alongside the gender of the patient. For our use case, co-gendering in the hospital ward is against the hospital's policy and therefore the gender requirement has been used as an additional constraint for the room allocation.

6 Evaluation

We present the first proof-of-concept DT with a simulation scenario framework that is intended to be used to plan the day-by-day bed allocation needs for a major Norwegian hospital. To evaluate this first proof-of-concept, we use a stream of patient information, and account for a sufficient ward capacity buffer for emergency patients to produce robust plans and minimize manual labor for the allocation of patients to rooms in a hospital ward. Working with anonymized historical data allows us to focus the proof-of-concept on DT functionality with a realistic flow of patient data from the hospital ward, and defer the integration and legal challenges of actually going online. The presented plans minimize the moving of patients while ensuring that the needs of every patient are satisfied, if a solution exists. The simulation scenario driver can be used for various time-frames, which can span up to one full year. Our DT solution showcases extensive long-term resource planning, even computing the bed allocation for a whole year on a day-by-day basis, under known workloads, is computationally feasible.

In the following reported evaluation results, all scenarios are computed on an M2 Pro MacBook Pro with 32 GB of RAM and Docker for the composition of the various components of the DT.

6.1 A Realistic Hospital Scenario

For the first experiment, we use an anonymized real-world dataset from a hospital ward, provided by a major Norwegian healthcare provider. In the dataset, patients are identified by their unique PATIENT ID, their gender, and whether they are contagious or not. The diagnoses for treatments are created based on the actual information from the real-world dataset, accounting for day-level granularity. Rooms are identified by their ROOM ID, a synthetic identifier indicating an actual room in the hospital ward. In the following, we call a stream of incoming patients with their diagnosis a *scenario*.

Based on the dataset, a DT of the ward is created, as described in Section 5. Note that the granularity of the current simulation is in the day-by-day needs, i.e. allocation plans are computed per day; however, the granularity could be further reduced, given more detailed information on the flow of patients, e.g. in



Figure 4: Left: Room allocation in the ward, illustrating a solution from the DT for a given day (males blue, females red). Right: execution times for varying scenarios (*z*-axis, in minutes), ranging over patients (*x*-axis, from 100 to 2000 patients) and days (*y*-axis, from 30 to 365 days).

which time of the day a new patient is admitted. All simulation scenarios are computed based on average approximations for the task duration perspective. A typical scenario for the hospital ward of our use case, with 100 patients arriving over 30 days, was computed by the DT in approximately 30 seconds.

6.2 Synthetic Scalability of the Hospital Scenario

To evaluate the scalability of our DT framework, we define multiple scenarios by increasing the number of patients from 100 to 2000 and varying the number of days of the planning problem from 30 to 365. Apart from the scenario with 2000 patients with a time frame of 30 days, that took 80 minutes, the bed allocation results were computed by the DT for all scenarios in less than 20 minutes, as shown by the right hand side of Figure 4. The reported times are the total time in minutes to compute the whole range of scenarios, i.e., including both the simulating phase and computing a day-by-day room allocation plan for the whole range of scenarios within the time frame. The figure also shows a peak in execution time for the experiments that were conducted with only a few days but many patients. While the scenario is designed in a way that would make it easy for the constraint solver to establish that the problem is unsatisfiable, the high number of patients per day increased the time needed to construct the constraint model. In this regard, we plan to fine-tune the model to decrease the processing time.

In our scaling experiments, when dealing with too many patients in high-stress test scenarios, there might not exist a feasible bed allocation solution. When no such allocation can be provided, the day is skipped in our experiments, and the bed allocation already in place was left unchanged, and the simulation proceeds with the following day. We provide allocations for all days that are still feasible, leaving the unsatisfied ones empty. Clearly, in a real hospital, a more refined approach would be required here, and mitigation actions that lie outside the scope of our DT would be needed.

7 Discussion and Future Work

We have presented a proof-of-concept actor-based, simulation-oriented digital twin framework, that leverages ontologies to create the baseline for a knowledge base, simulation-based generation of resource allocation problems and an SMT solver for constraints satisfaction. The advantage of this architecture is that it is easily configurable and its modularity easily supports further extensions. We believe our proposed architecture combines different formal methods in a nice way, this combination also suggests clear paths towards interesting extensions to the work presented in this paper.

In our current work, the model of the ward is fixed, i.e. it is not evolving over time, and models only patient trajectories within one ward in a hospital. We used only a small set of constraints that could affect the resource allocation in a hospital. We here reflect on some interesting directions for future work, based on the proof-of-concept digital twin presented here:

Model Evolution: Our goal is for an online twin to learn new realistic patient trajectories over time from live data and adjust to changes in the ward configuration. This could also mean recommending a new ward layout that includes, for example, the addition of new bed bays.

Constraints: The model can easily be extended to include more elements. For example, we might include information such as patient age or co-morbidity. We could also consider other parameters like equipment costs, or ease of access to resources from the hospital that could affect particular treatments.

Granularity: In a more realistic digital twin scenario, room allocations will need to be made at variable rates, specified in minutes, to obtain a more accurate representation of the hospital's continuous operations. We plan for the next version of the resource allocation planner to account for real-time updates and leverage the time semantics of ABS to support the generation of bed allocation problems at parameterized time intervals over workflows that could be specified with dense time.

Historic data and statistical models: We developed the twin and computed the simulations using a historical dataset. We have not yet integrated statistical models into the twin architecture. The twin model could be extended to include probabilities and distributions that will allow more a more realistic generation of scenarios for simulations.

An interesting extension of our work from a practical perspective, is the actual integration of BedreFlyt in the workflow of a hospital ward. We expect that connecting the twin's output to bed allocation practice at the ward can be managed through, e.g., a visual dashboard that allows the admitting nurse to monitor and adjust the bed allocations suggested by the twin. While our DT architecture has been designed to receive a timed stream of patients with associated treatments as input, there may be practical and legal barriers to feeding the twin with patient data from the ward's data system that remain to be addressed for a full online deployment of BedreFlyt.

Another interesting direction of future work, is to integrate other resources associated with medical procedures and patient treatment. Allocation plans would then need to not only consider the capacity of the hospital wards but also the capacity of other resources that the hospital needs to manage, in relation to the patient trajectories that require hospitalization. The next phase of our research will consider real-time constraints, and refined granularity of the simulation to a level of precision that also does not inflate the complexity of the generated plans. We plan to extend the model of the ward to include dependencies spanning over multiple wards that influence the movement of patients. This will lead to work on ecosystems of twins.

As discussed, these future directions raise interesting research questions that could be tackled in a digital twin context. How do we add complexity to the landscape of the digital twin to include other types of user interactions with the digital twin? We envision that considering more complex resource allocation problems, including, e.g., healthcare personnel rostering and task scheduling, concerning patient trajectories through the ward, will result in a digital twin that can greatly increase efficiency in the ward.

Acknowledgment. The work was partly funded by the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (Helse Sør-Øst) through the project *BedreFlyt*. We thank the other project participants, especially Céline Cunen, Ingrid Konstanse Ledel Solem, Frode Strisland and Manuela Zucknik who helped collect and organize data about patient treatments and patient streams at Oslo University Hospital - Rikshospitalet.

References

- Erika Abrahám & Gereon Kremer (2017): SMT solving for arithmetic theories: Theory and tool support. In: Proc. 19th Intl. Symp. on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computing (SYNASC), IEEE, pp. 1–8, doi:10.1109/SYNASC.2017.00009.
- [2] Mamoun Alazab, Latif U. Khan, Srinivas Koppu, Swarna Priya Ramu, Iyapparaja Meenakshisundaram, M. Parimala Boobalan, Thar Baker, Praveen Kumar Reddy Maddikunta, Thippa Reddy Gadekallu & Ahamed Aljuhani (2023): Digital Twins for Healthcare 4.0 - Recent Advances, Architecture, and Open Challenges. IEEE Consumer Electron. Mag. 12(6), pp. 29–37, doi:10.1109/MCE.2022.3208986.
- [3] Elvira Albert, Frank S. de Boer, Reiner Hähnle, Einar Broch Johnsen, Rudolf Schlatte, Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa & Peter Y. H. Wong (2014): Formal Modeling and Analysis of Resource Management for Cloud Architectures: An Industrial Case Study using Real-Time ABS. Journal of Service-Oriented Computing and Applications 8(4), pp. 323–339, doi:10.1007/S11761-013-0148-0.
- [4] Franz Baader, Diego Calvanese, Deborah L. McGuinness, Daniele Nardi & Peter F. Patel-Schneider, editors (2003): *The Description Logic Handbook: Theory, Implementation, and Applications*. Cambridge Univ. Press, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511711787.
- [5] Anna Billey & Thorsten Wuest (2024): Energy digital twins in smart manufacturing systems: A case study. Robotics Comput. Integr. Manuf. 88, p. 102729, doi:10.1016/J.RCIM.2024.102729.
- [6] Nikolaj S. Bjørner, Anh-Dung Phan & Lars Fleckenstein (2015): vZ An Optimizing SMT Solver. In: Proc. TACAS 2015, LNCS 9035, Springer, pp. 194–199, doi:10.1007/978-3-662-46681-0_14.
- [7] Xiangyu Chang, Rui Zhang, Jianxiao Mao & Yuguang Fu (2024): Digital Twins in Transportation Infrastructure: An Investigation of the Key Enabling Technologies, Applications, and Challenges. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 25(7), pp. 6449–6471, doi:10.1109/TITS.2024.3401716.
- [8] Jiangbo Dang, Amir Hedayati, Ken Hampel & Candemir Toklu (2008): An ontological knowledge framework for adaptive medical workflow. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 41(5), pp. 829–836, doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.05.012.
- [9] Leonardo De Moura & Nikolaj Bjørner (2008): Z3: An efficient SMT solver. In: Proc. TACAS 2008, LNCS 4963, Springer, pp. 337–340, doi:10.1007/978-3-540-78800-3_24.
- [10] Marshall L Fisher, Ramchandran Jaikumar & Luk N Van Wassenhove (1986): A multiplier adjustment method for the generalized assignment problem. Management science 32(9), pp. 1095–1103, doi:10.1287/mnsc.32.9.1095.
- [11] John Fitzgerald, Cláudio Gomes & Peter Gorm Larsen, editors (2024): The Engineering of Digital Twins. Springer, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-66719-0.
- [12] Daniel Garcia-Vicuña, Ana López-Cheda, María Amalia Jácome & Fermin Mallor (2023): Estimation of patient flow in hospitals using up-to-date data. Application to bed demand prediction during pandemic waves. PLOS ONE 18(2), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0282331.
- [13] Siemens Healthcare GmbH (2018): Digital Workflow Optimization. Technical Report, Siemens Healthcare GmbH. Available at https://corporate.webassets.siemens-healthineers.com/ 180000005658034/d2ba2b3d0cc5/From_Digital_Twin_to_Improved_Patient_Experience_Case_ Study 180000005658034.pdf.
- [14] Maria Hajłasz & Bożena Mielczarek (2020): Simulation modeling for predicting hospital admissions and bed utilisation. Operations Research and Decisions 30(3), doi:10.37190/ord200301.
- [15] Ahmed K. Jameil & Hamed S. Al-Raweshidy (2024): AI-Enabled Healthcare and Enhanced Computational Resource Management With Digital Twins Into Task Offloading Strategies. IEEE Access 12, pp. 90353– 90370, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3420741.
- [16] Shaoxiong Ji, Shirui Pan, Erik Cambria, Pekka Marttinen & Philip S. Yu (2022): A Survey on Knowledge Graphs: Representation, Acquisition, and Applications. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst. 33(2), pp. 494–514, doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3070843.

- [17] Einar Broch Johnsen, Reiner Hähnle, Jan Schäfer, Rudolf Schlatte & Martin Steffen (2010): ABS: A Core Language for Abstract Behavioral Specification. In: Proc. FMCO, LNCS 6957, Springer, pp. 142–164, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-25271-6_8.
- [18] Einar Broch Johnsen, Rudolf Schlatte & Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa (2015): Integrating deployment architectures and resource consumption in timed object-oriented models. J. Log. Algebraic Methods Program. 84(1), pp. 67–91, doi:10.1016/J.JLAMP.2014.07.001.
- [19] Eduard Kamburjan, Nelly Bencomo, Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa & Einar Broch Johnsen (2024): Declarative Lifecycle Management in Digital Twins. In: Proc. 1st Intl. Conf. on Engineering Digital Twins (EDTconf 2024), MODELS Companion'24, ACM, pp. 353–363, doi:10.1145/3652620.3688248.
- [20] Eduard Kamburjan, Reiner Hähnle & Sebastian Schön (2018): Formal modeling and analysis of railway operations with active objects. Sci. Comput. Program. 166, pp. 167–193, doi:10.1016/J.SCICO.2018.07.001.
- [21] Eduard Kamburjan, Vidar Norstein Klungre, Rudolf Schlatte, Einar Broch Johnsen & Martin Giese (2021): Programming and Debugging with Semantically Lifted States. In: Proc. 18th Intl. Conf. on the Semantic Web (ESWC 2021), LNCS 12731, Springer, pp. 126–142, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-77385-4_8.
- [22] Eduard Kamburjan, Vidar Norstein Klungre, Rudolf Schlatte, Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa, David Cameron & Einar Broch Johnsen (2022): *Digital Twin Reconfiguration Using Asset Models*. In: Proc. ISoLA, LNCS 13704, Springer, pp. 71–88, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-19762-8_6.
- [23] Eduard Kamburjan, Riccardo Sieve, Chinmayi Prabhu Baramashetru, Marco Amato, Gianluca Barmina, Eduard Occhipinti & Einar Broch Johnsen (2024): GreenhouseDT: An Exemplar for Digital Twins. In: Proc. SEAMS@ICSE, ACM, pp. 175–181, doi:10.1145/3643915.3644108.
- [24] Rohit Kaul, Chinedu I. Ossai, Abdur Rahim Mohammad Forkan, Prem Prakash Jayaraman, John Zelcer, Stephen Vaughan & Nilmini Wickramasinghe (2023): *The role of AI for developing digital twins in health-care: The case of cancer care. WIREs Data Mining Knowl. Discov.* 13(1), doi:10.1002/WIDM.1480.
- [25] Paul Kobialka, Andrea Pferscher, Gunnar R. Bergersen, Einar Broch Johnsen & Tapia Tarifa Silvia Lizeth (2024): Stochastic Games for User Journeys. In: Proc. 26th Intl. Symp. on Formal Methods (FM 2024), LNCS 14934, Springer, pp. 167–186, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-71177-0_12.
- [26] Paul Kobialka, Rudolf Schlatte, Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa, Gunnar R. Bergersen & Einar Broch Johnsen (2024): Simulating User Journeys with Active Objects. In: Active Object Languages: Current Research Trends, LNCS 14360, Springer, pp. 199–225, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-51060-1_8.
- [27] Daniel Kroening & Ofer Strichman (2016): *Decision procedures*, 2 edition. Springer, doi:10.1007/978-3-662-50497-0.
- [28] Timo Latruwe, Marlies Van Der Wee, Pieter Vanleenhove, Joke Devriese, Sofie Verbrugge & Didier Colle (2023): A long-term forecasting and simulation model for strategic planning of hospital bed capacity. Operations Research for Health Care 36, p. 100375, doi:10.1016/j.orhc.2022.100375.
- [29] Jia-Chun Lin, Ingrid Chieh Yu, Einar Broch Johnsen & Ming-Chang Lee (2016): ABS-YARN: A Formal Framework for Modeling Hadoop YARN Clusters. In: Proc. 19th Intl. Conf. on Fundamental Approaches to Software Engineering (FASE 2016), LNCS 9633, Springer, pp. 49–65, doi:10.1007/978-3-662-49665-7_4.
- [30] Matthias Johannes Merkel, Renee Edwards, Joe Ness, Carl Eriksson, Susan Yoder, Stephanie Gilliam, Katie Ellero, Coral Barreto-Costa, Peter Graven, Jeffrey R. Terry & James Heilman (2020): Statewide Real-Time Tracking of Beds and Ventilators During Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Beyond. Critical Care Explorations 2(6), doi:10.1097/CCE.00000000000142.
- [31] Nader Mohamed, Jameela Al-Jaroodi, Imad Jawhar & Nader Kesserwan (2023): Leveraging Digital Twins for Healthcare Systems Engineering. IEEE Access 11, pp. 69841–69853, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3292119.
- [32] Dana Mukamel, Jack Zwanziger & Anil Bamezai (2002): *Hospital Competition, Resource Allocation and Quality of Care.* BMC health services research 2, p. 10, doi:10.1186/1472-6963-2-10.
- [33] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) (2024): Foundational Research Gaps and Future Directions for Digital Twins. The National Academies Press, doi:10.17226/26894.

- [34] Juliane Neumann, Alexandr Uciteli, Tim Meschke, Richard Bieck, Stefan Franke, Heinrich Herre & Thomas Neumuth (2022): Ontology-based surgical workflow recognition and prediction. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 136, p. 104240, doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2022.104240.
- [35] Martin Pitt (1997): A Generalised Simulation System to Support Strategic Resource Planning in Healthcare. In: Winter Simulation Conference Proceedings, ACM, pp. 1155–1162, doi:10.1145/268437.268753.
- [36] Hassan Sartaj, Shaukat Ali, Tao Yue & Kjetil Moberg (2024): Model-based digital twins of medicine dispensers for healthcare IoT applications. Softw. Pract. Exp. 54(6), pp. 1172–1192, doi:10.1002/SPE.3311.
- [37] Rudolf Schlatte, Einar Broch Johnsen, Eduard Kamburjan & Silvia Lizeth Tapia Tarifa (2022): *The ABS simulator toolchain. Sci. Comput. Program.* 223, p. 102861, doi:10.1016/J.SCICO.2022.102861.
- [38] Nigel Shadbolt, Tim Berners-Lee & Wendy Hall (2006): *The Semantic Web Revisited*. IEEE Intell. Syst. 21(3), pp. 96–101, doi:10.1109/MIS.2006.62.
- [39] Vishal Sharma, Jochen Abel, Mohamed Al-Hussein, Kunibert Lennerts & Uwe Pfründer (2007): Simulation application for resource allocation in facility management processes in hospitals. Facilities 25, pp. 493–506, doi:10.1108/02632770710822599.
- [40] Nils Chr. Stenseth, Rudolf Schlatte, Roger Pielke Jr., Ruiyun Li, Bin Chen, Ottar N. Bjørnstad, Dimitri Kusnezov, George F. Gao, Christophe Fraser, Jason D. Whittington, Yuqi Bai, Ke Deng, Peng Gong, Dabo Guan, Yixiong Xiao, Bing Xu & Einar Broch Johnsen (2023): *How to avoid a local epidemic becoming a global pandemic. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (PNAS)* 120(10), doi:10.1073/pnas.2220080120.
- [41] Alexandre Vallée (2023): Digital twin for healthcare systems. Frontiers in Digital Health 5, p. 1253050, doi:10.3389/fdgth.2023.1253050.
- [42] Danny Weyns (2021): An Introduction to Self-Adaptive Systems: A Contemporary Software Engineering Perspective. Wiley-IEEE Computer Society Pr, doi:10.1002/9781119574910.
- [43] Szymon Wilk, Mounira Kezadri-Hamiaz, Daniel Amyot, Wojtek Michalowski, Craig Kuziemsky, Nihan Catal, Daniela Rosu, Marc Carrier & Randy Giffen (2020): An ontology-driven framework to support the dynamic formation of an interdisciplinary healthcare team. Intl. Journal of Medical Informatics 136, doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104075.
- [44] Nimnath Withanachchi, Yasuo Uchida, Shyama Nanayakkara, Dulani Samaranayake & Akiko Okitsu (2007): Resource allocation in public hospitals: Is it effective? Health policy 80, pp. 308–313, doi:10.1016/j.healthpol.2006.03.014.